

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Gradomark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C., 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/615,708	07/13/2000	Kazuyoshi Mizutani	Q60118	5086	
75	90 04/19/2002				
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washigton, DC 20037			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			HAMILTON, CYNTHIA		
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1752	10	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2002	DATE MAILED: 04/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	AS-17				
Office Action Summary	Application No. O9-615,708 Applicant(s) O9-615,708 Mizutani etal Examiner C. Hamilton 1752				
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—				
Period for Reply	$\overline{}$				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE				
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11-02	-01				
This action is FINAL .					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. 					
Disposition of Claims					
1 Claim(s) 12, 17 - 22, 24	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s) $14-20$, 22 , 24	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
	is/are rejected.				
Claim(s) 2 ∫	is/are objected to.				
Claim(s) 12, 14-22, 24	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement				
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.					
	••				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:					
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	·				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec					
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents					
in this national stage application from the International E *Certified copies not received:					
	•				
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s					
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other				

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 12,19 have been amended. Claims 1-11, 18 and 23 have been cancelled. Claims 12, 14-22 and 24 remain.

- 2. Due to the removal of formula IX from claim 12, rejections based on Mizutani, Teuscher and Unruh are moot. These rejections have been overcome by amendment.
- 3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Skoultchi (3,575,925).

The rejection stands for reasons of record.

4. Applicant's arguments filed November 21, 200 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue the compounds of Skoultchi have a different structure than that of the instant invention. Applicants argue the instant structure requires the aromatic ring be directly linked to the naphthalene ring with no intervening carbonyl group as found in Skoultchi. Thus, the instant structure does not undergo a chemical change even if exposed to light, where as the compounds of Skoultchci do because of the carbonyl. The examiner retains the rejection over Skoultchi because instant A1, the group in question, is drawn to" ... a divalent aromatic 5 to 14 carbon atoms, which may have a substituent..." The structure cited by applicants for Skoultchi as well as the other A1, structures identified by the examiner in the rejection, read on this "group" for A. There is no limitation of this aromatic group to only aromatic rings directly attached to the naphthalene ring as alleged by applicant relies are not cited in rejected claim 12. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification

Art Unit: 1714

are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ 2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The rejection stands.

- 5. Claims 14-20 and 22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 5, filed April 9, 2001. Claim 19 which is wholly dependent upon non-elected claim 18 is now also held non-elected in view of amendment to claim 19 removing improper multiple dependency.
- 6. Claim 24 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 5, filed April 9, 2001.
- 7. Claim 21 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. This application contains claims 14-20, 22 and 24 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 5. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/615,708 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Hamilton whose telephone number is (703) 308-3626.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 am EST to 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Janet Baxter, can be reached on (703) 308-2303. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599 for After Final responses or (703) 305-7718 for all other responses.

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0661.

Cynthia Hamilton/om April 5, 2002